G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 184-18

Serial Nos. 1-2

1918.

(Nuwara:)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B for Nov. 18.

SUBJECT.

Jaffna inam lands attached to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Kelaham K.a.

No 1212 dy 5. 11. 18.

To, The petitioners

Repeats the petition

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-.

18.

23 12. 18.

G. R. S. III—1] 1918. 416

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inzmai)

NOTES.

File No. 184/1918

FILE HEADING.

Jafe inam lands attached to Sri Venu-. Sopala Swami temple at Yelahanka.

From

Subbamma and Sambaiya, of Bangalore.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 23. 8. 1918 Received

Diary No. 416	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeal against the Dy. Comm's. order directing the resumption of the said inam lands for the benefit of the inst^n.—

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal petition is duly stamped. An authenticated copy of the Inst^r from the Taluk office Communicating the Dy. Comm's. order is filed with the appeal. The appeal is in time and may be admitted.

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18 11 1o

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 184 of 1916.

Serial No.

It is not clear from the order whether the inam was specially granted to the ancestors of the appellants for Dafe Service in the inst^n and title-deeds have been issued in the names of such individuals or the inam is an endowment to the temple and had been left in the possession of the persons rendering Dafe Service as remuneration for such service—

A dft calling for report with records is submtd for appl. or other orders

AV 16-9-1918.

SRG

How do this appeal time? Note more fully

25/9/18 DB

Submts with a routine note and a revised dft for appl

AV 30.9.18

The appeal is not in time. The draft returning the appeal

2 No 1212 Dt 5-11-18 From the petnr Re-quests the D.C.

18/11/18 DB

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Petn book
esb
24/6/18

TWO RUPE

To

12833

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

416

Muzrai Appeal No of 1918-1919.

18 19
Mewl
184-18

Appellants

1. Sibbamma daughter of Tekalamma and natural daughter of Sikanaya, residing at Kolare, Bangalore.Cnty.

2. Sambaya son of Sikanaya and husband of the 1st Appellant, also residing at Kolare Bangalore Cnty.

The appellants above named beg to appeal to this honourable Court against the decision of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore as contained in the endorsement hereto filed on the following grounds.

1. That the first appellant is the adopted daughter of the late holder Lakshamma of the Tope Inam attached to Venugopala Swamy Temple at Yelhanka, consisting of a house and dry field and a wet Land.

2. The second appellant is adopted by the first appellant as the son of Sikanaya who is the husband of the 1st appellant mother Muddamma.

3. That the said Lakshamma died on the 1st appellant to Yelhanka and doing her duties on the Inam and has she was otherwise permanently residing with the 1st appellant Bangalore

4. The said Lakshamma died about one year before the second appellant so as to adopt and the name of the Inamdar has died without issue

5. Then the appellants became involved in a litigation concerning another property at Bangalore and therefore they did not lookafter their Inam Lands.

6. The appellants were under the impression that the lands were available to them and when they were told that the Amildar had attached the lands and kept them in Amanath for want of claimants they filed a petition on 29-7-1915 to the Amildar praying that the lands and house attached to the said Taffa Inam may be given to their possession as they are the nearst heirs to the last holder Lakshmamma and offering to do the services required to be done in the Temple.

7. On the said petition a local inquiry was made and a Muchalka was prepared to the effect that the appellants are the nearst heirs to the said Lakshmakka and that they may be given possession of the said lands and a report was made to the Deputy Commissioner by the Amildar accordingly.

8. The Deputy Commissioner kept the records and did not give any endorsement to the appellants for a long time although the second appellant filed nearly 25 petitions by way of Reminders.

9. The Amildar sent the endorsement hereinwith filed to the effect that he has been directed by the Deputy Commissioner to inform the appellants that the lands have been resumed by him.

10. The appellants beg to submit the Deputy Commissioner had no authority to resume the lands simply because they were Taffa Inam Lands. On the death of the previous incumbent he ought to have assessed the lands and granted them to the heirs of the last holder.

11. Further the resumption by the Deputy Commissioner was illegal in as much as no notice was issued to the heirs of the last holder to ascertain whether they are willing to continue the duties attached to the Inam and their wishes were not ascertained.

12. If the duties in question were reprehensible to Government there is no justice in resuming lands that have been in the possession of the appellants and their ancestors for hundreds of years.

Under these circumstances the appellants pray that the order of the Deputy Commissioner resuming the Toffu Inam Lands of the Venkataramana Temple at Yelhanka may be cancelled and the lands may be confirmed to the appellants on condition of their paying the full assessment or the Inams themselves may be continued on condition of their doing the duties enjoined by the grant.

B Narayanaswamy
23/8/18

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಸಿಸಿಸಿಟ್ಟ 18 ಸೆಕೆಂಡು ಸಮಕೋಣವಿದ್ದು ಅಂತೆ ಪಾಲಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ
ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಸೈಟ್ ಪ್ಲಾನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೋರಿಸಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು

ಪಕ್ಕದವರಿಂದ ತಕರಾರು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು

ಸಾರಿ ಸೆಕೆಂಡು ಸಮಕೋಣವಿದ್ದು 18 ಸೆಕೆಂಡುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮವಿರುವುದು ಅಂತೆ ಪಾಲಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ -

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲುಚಿಕ್ಕಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಣ್ಣಿ ಎಂಬ ಎರಡು ಮರಗಳು
ಇವೆಯೆಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಮರಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದೆಂದು
ಸಾಬೀತುಪಡಿಸಲು ಅವರು ಯಾವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನೂ ಒದಗಿಸಿಲ್ಲ



ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು..... ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ

19 ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

Vs.

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಬಿ. ನಂಜುಂಡಯ್ಯ ಬಿ. ಎ, ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಯವರಿಗೆ

ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಿಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಯೆಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ನೊಬ ಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ನೊಬಲಗು ನಹಾ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯ ಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈನಜು ವಗ್ಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ನಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದಸೋಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯೆರಾಗಲೀ ಡಿಟೋ ನೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಯೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗೆಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಶಿದಂತೆ ವಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು

ಈ ನೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರೀಚಯುಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮು ರುಜುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

23/8/18

ಈ ನೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ಬಿ. ಯಸ್. ವೀರಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪನವರಲ್ಲಿ ತಯೊರ್ ಕಿಲಾರಿರೋಡು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

S.N.B V. PRESS, B-CITY, -1918.

G. R. S. VII—6/37]

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವೆರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಂದ
ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖಾ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವೆರ
ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ನಿಬ್ಬಿ ಮೆಕ್ಕೆಂಜಿ ಕಂಪನಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂ. 1212 ಕೊಲ್ಕ್ಟ್ 2 ತಾರೀಖು 5-11-1918
184-18-GV

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ನಿಯಮೆಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ 5 ನೆಯ ನಿಯಮೆಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ 23-8-18 ನೆ ತಾರೀಕಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವೆರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವೆರು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಗಲಾರದೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಿ ಇದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು 2 ಮೇಲ್ಪೊಳಿಗಳ ಸಮೇತ ಇದರೊಡನೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿ ಇದೆ.

ಹುಕುಂ ಬಮ್ಮೋಜೋಬ್,

ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವೆರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಬರೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳೂ ಮತ್ತು ಅಪ್ಪೀಲುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ :—

(1) ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಇಲಾಖಾ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮೇಲುವಿಳಾಸ ಬರೆದಿರಬೇಕು.

(2) ಸರಿಯಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(3) ಮೈಸೂರು ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಫಿ ರಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಧಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿರಬೇಕು.

(4) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗಲೀ ಅದರ ಸೈನ್ ಮೊಹರಾದ ನಕಲೀ ಆಗಲೀ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ಜತೆಯಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕು, ಮತ್ತು ಅದು ಯಾವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲು ಇರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಅಂಥಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗಿರಬೇಕು.

(5) ~~(5)~~ ಕಾನೂನಿನಿಂದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ವಿಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ವಾಯಿದೆಯೊಳಗೆ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(6) ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಸಹಿ ಇರಬೇಕು.

(7) ರಾಜಭಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಕೂಡಿ ಗಂಭೀರವಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಗೌರವ ದರ್ಶಕವಾದ ಸರಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರಬೇಕು.

(8) ಒಂದೇ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು.

(9) ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿಯೂ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಯೂ ಬರೆದು ಇರಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಒಂದು ನಿಯಮಕ್ಕಾಗಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗಾಗಲಿ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು, ಅಪ್ಪೀಲುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ಬಹಾದುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

WDA836—GPB—1,000—23-1-18

342
AUG 1919
MUZRAI
FILE

Muzrai appeal no of 1918-19.

Petitioner's copy 5/1/20 W.S. sent 5/1/20.

1249

19 20

To,

The General & Revenue Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore,
Bangalore

Appellants:- 1 Subbamma residing at No 18
Kotige Lane, Bangalore City.
2 Sambiah, brother of the first
residing at the same place.

This is a Reminder petition - that the petition may be kept in the Misc file & D.O. remarks etc

7.1.20
7.1.20

The appellants above named beg to submit this petition by way of reminder.

The above named persons had petitioned to the Secretary to the Government, Muzrai Dept. praying that the matter of restoring some Hereditary Inams attached to Venugopala Swami Temple at Yelahanka on 23-8-18 and 7-11-18 and the petitioners have received an endorsement from the Muzrai Secretary dated 19-2-19 to the effect that the petition has been sent to the Deputy Commissioner for report.

2. Although six months have elapsed the petitioners have not as yet known the result of the petition whether a report has been received by the Deputy Commissioner and what further steps the Muzrai Secretary has been pleased to take.

3. Hence the petitioners humbly beg that the report and the records may be immediately sent for from the Deputy Commissioner's office

if they are not already received, and the petitioners appeal disposed of after giving them a kind hearing at an early possible date as the petitioners are suffering very much especially during these days of scarcity

4th Lastly, petitioners put in another application as reminder on the 31st of October last and an endorsement stating that the records from the Deputy Commissioner's Office have not been sent yet to this office and the case will be considered and disposed of as soon as possible.

3rd January 1920
Bangalore

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1925

ఆ. 20

Mar

Att. 2

The Secretary, Justice
Party with reference
under is submitted

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ತಾ 27-3-1920

have Submitted

Petition in
5.10 p.m.

5 Remander petition

P. No. 362 of 16-17.
Remainder.

Yours sincerely

I am sending you herewith a copy of the letter which I have addressed to the Secretary of State for India in Council, and I shall be grateful if you will kindly bring the matter before the Committee of the Council.

I am, Madam,

Your obedient servant,

Sarojini Naidu

Mrs. Dr. Common

Dated 16-4-1918